For the Northern District of California

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNI	Α

ROB JOSEPH SIMMONS,	No. C 14-00528 EJD (PR)
Petitioner,) ORDER DENYING CERTIFICATE OF APPEALABILITY
v.	
MAGUIRE CORRECTIONAL FACILITY OF SAN MATEO COUNTY, et al.,)))
Respondents.))

Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding <u>pro</u> <u>se</u>, filed a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. On October 3, 2014, the Court dismissed the case pursuant to Northern District Local Rule 3-11, when mail directed to Petitioner was returned to the court as not deliverable and Petitioner failed to provide the Court with a current address within sixty days thereafter. (See Docket No. 15.) On August 19, 2015, Petitioner filed a notice of appeal. (Docket No. 16.) The Ninth Circuit has remanded the case to this Court for the limited purpose of granting or denying a certificate of appealability (COA).

"Determining whether a COA should issue where the petition was dismissed on procedural grounds has two components, one directed at the underlying constitutional

claims and one directed at the district court's procedural holding." <u>Slack v. McDaniel</u> ,
529 U.S. 473, 484-85 (2000). "When the district court denies a habeas petition on
procedural grounds without reaching the prisoner's underlying constitutional claim, a
COA should issue when the prisoner shows, at least, that jurists of reason would find it
debatable whether the petition states a valid claim of the denial of a constitutional right
and that jurists of reason would find it debatable whether the district court was correct
in its procedural ruling." <u>Id.</u> at 484. As each of these components is a "threshold
inquiry," the federal court "may find that it can dispose of the application in a fair and
prompt manner if it proceeds first to resolve the issue whose answer is more apparent
from the record and arguments." Id. at 485.

For the reasons discussed above, Petitioner has not shown that jurists of reason would find it debatable whether the Court was correct in its procedural ruling that Petitioner had failed to notify the Court of his current address after mail directed to him was returned to the Court as not deliverable. Accordingly, the COA is DENIED.

The Clerk of the Court shall transmit a copy of this Order to the Ninth Circuit.

DATED:	9/8/2015	
		EDWARD J. DAVILA

United States District Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ROBERT JOSEPH SIMMONS,	Case Number: CV14-00528 EJD
Plaintiff,	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
v.	
MAGUIRE CORRECTIONAL FAC OF SAN MATEO COUNTY, et al.,	TILITY
Defendants.	/
I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I Court, Northern District of California.	am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District
That on 9/9/2015 attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a phereinafter listed, by depositing said envaninter-office delivery receptacle located	, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) velope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into ed in the Clerk's office.
Rob Joseph Simmons #1061745 San Mateo County Jail Maguire Corr. Facility 300 Bradford Street Redwood City, CA 94063-1530	
Dated: 9/9/2015	Richard W. Wieking, Clerk /s/By: Elizabeth Garcia, Deputy Clerk